

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1459 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/063,885	05/21/2002	Ko-Chien Chuang	CEIP0041USA	2359
27765 75	590 02/26/2004	EXAMINER		
NAIPO (NORTH AMERICA INTERNATIONAL PATENT OFFICE)			PERVEEN, REHANA	
P.O. BOX 506 MERRIFIELD,	VA 22116		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	, ==	. •	2182	
			DATE MAILED: 02/26/2004	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

8

	<u> </u>			
2		Application No.	Applicant(s)	7
		10/063,885	CHUANG, KO-CHIEN	
•	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Rehana Perveen	2182	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cov r sheet wit	h the correspond nc address	
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOn ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication apperiod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peruper to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- reply within the statutory minimum of thirh riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become AB.	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. FHS from the mailing date of this communicat ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	tion.
Status				
		This action is non-final. wance except for formal matte	• •	is
Disposit	ion of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction are	drawn from consideration.		
Applicat	tion Papers			
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Example The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 May 2002</u> is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the	: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object the drawing(s) be held in abeyan rrection is required if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	` '
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore S All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documed a Copies of the priority documed a. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documed application from the International Bursee the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in A priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachmer	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice 3) Infor	ce of References Cited (F10-692) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Application/Control Number: 10/063,885

Art Unit: 2182

Response to Amendment

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kikinis, Patent No. 5,841,424, in view of Lemke et al, Patent No. 6,694,428.

Kikinis was cited as prior art in the previous office action.

As to claim 1, Kikinis teaches a personal digital assistant system comprising a cradle comprising a cradle housing and a first socket positioned on the cradle housing for outputting electric power, a peripheral module positioned on the cradle and electrically connected to the first socket of the cradle, and a personal digital assistant comprising a first connecting port electrically connected to the first socket of the cradle, wherein the cradle provides the personal digital assistant and the peripheral module with electric power (figure 4, col. 4 line 30 – col. 5 line 15).

However, Kikinis does not expressly teach the personal digital assistant controlling operations of the peripheral module through the first connecting port.

Application/Control Number: 10/063,885

Art Unit: 2182

Lemke et al teach a personal digital assistant controlling operations of a peripheral module through a first connecting port (col. 1 line 41 – col. 2 line 11).

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the teachings of Kikinis and Lemke et al because Lemke et al's PDA controlling peripheral would have enabled improved expandability by expanding functionality of the prior existing PDA-based systems.

As to claim 2, Kikinis teaches the cradle further comprises a second socket (figure 4, col. 4 lines 30-61).

As to claim 3, Kikinis teaches the peripheral module comprises a second connecting port for electrically connecting to the second socket of the cradle (figure 4, col. 4 lines 30-61).

As to claim 4, Kikinis teaches the peripheral module further comprises a third socket (figure 4, col. 4 lines 30-61).

As to claim 5, Kikinis teaches the third socket is used for electrically connecting to the first connecting port of the personal digital assistant (col. 4 line 31 – col. 5 line 15).

Art Unit: 2182

As to claim 6, Kikinis teaches the second connecting port is used for electrically connecting to the first socket of the cradle (figure 4, col. 4 lines 30-61).

As to claims 7-10, 13, and 14, Kikinis teaches the peripheral module is a VGA module or a USB module, or a network module, or a keyboard module (col. 4 line 4 – col. 5 line 27).

As to claim 11, Lemke et al teach the peripheral module comprises an I/O port for electrically connecting to a peripheral device (col. 2 lines 1-32).

As to claim 12, Kikinis teaches a personal digital assistant having a first connecting port for connecting to a cradle, a peripheral module comprising a socket for electrically connecting to the first connecting port, an I/O port for electrically connecting to a peripheral device, and a peripheral circuit electrically connected to the socket and the I/O port (figure 4, col. 4 line 30 – col. 5 line 15).

However, Kikinis does not expressly teach the peripheral module for expanding functionality of the PDA. Kikinis also does not expressly teach a power control function such that when the peripheral device requires less than a predetermined amount of electrical power, the peripheral circuit allows a connected PDA to provide power to the peripheral device, and when the peripheral device requires at least the predetermined

Art Unit: 2182

amount of electrical power, the peripheral circuit does not allow the connected PDA to provide power to the peripheral device.

Lemke et al teach the peripheral module for expanding functionality of the PDA (col. 2 lines 1-6). Lemke et al also teach a power control function such that when a peripheral device requires less than a predetermined amount of electrical power, a peripheral circuit allows a connected PDA to provide power to the peripheral device. and when the peripheral device requires at least the predetermined amount of electrical power, the peripheral circuit does not allow the connected PDA to provide power to the peripheral device (col. 2 lines 20-60).

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine teachings of Kikinis and Lemke et al because Lemke et al's expanding functionality and power control technique, when incorporated into Kikinis' system, would have provided improved efficiency in prior existing PDA-based systems.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-14 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP

Art Unit: 2182

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rehana Perveen whose telephone number is 703-305-8476. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00am - 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey C Gaffin can be reached on 703-308-3301. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/063,885

Art Unit: 2182

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 7

Rehana Perveen

Primary Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2182